

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Emerging Technologies and Training Neurosciences Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 09:51:22 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Health and Human Services		2018	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Emerging Technologies and Training Neurosciences Integrated Review Group		33742	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	12/03/2007		
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
No			
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 USC 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open 0	17b. Closed 8	17c. Partially Closed 0	Other Activities 0
17d. Total 8			

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/12/2017	- 10/13/2017
NIH Peer Review	10/19/2017	- 10/20/2017
NIH Peer Review	02/07/2018	- 02/09/2018
NIH Peer Review	02/22/2018	- 02/23/2018
NIH Peer Review	02/22/2018	- 02/23/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/07/2018	- 06/08/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/18/2018	- 06/19/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/28/2018	- 06/29/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 8

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$95,500.00	\$95,500.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$672,322.00	\$685,097.00

18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$189,497.00	\$192,633.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$5,669.00	\$5,765.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$348,198.00	\$355,163.00
18d. Total	\$1,311,186.00	\$1,334,158.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	5.00	5.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Acts states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals in the fields related to the crosscutting technologies that serve all the neurosciences, emerging science in the small business area for all the neurosciences and the training areas for all the neurosciences. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 715 applications requesting \$853,458,370.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is composed of members who are authorities knowledgeable in the fields relating to cross cutting technologies including neurotechnology and imaging and molecular neurogenetics.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee and its subcommittees held 8 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee and its subcommittees were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of committee designated federal official and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Joseph Rudolph Chief, Emerging Technologies and Training Neurosciences IRG

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AJIBOYE, ABIDEMI	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ANIKEEVA, POLINA	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ARANCIO, OTTAVIO	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ARBEITMAN, MICHELLE	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ARENKIEL, BENJAMIN	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ASHTON, RANDOLPH	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AYAZ, HASAN	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	ASSOCIATE RESEARCH PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEARER, ELAINE	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEG, ASIM	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEKIRANOV, STEFAN	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BENSMAIA, SLIMAN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BENVENISTE, HELENE	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BIELAS, STEPHANIE	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BIRCH, EILEEN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	SENIOR RESEARCH SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member

BONINI, NANCY	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BORKHOLDER, DAVID	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BORTHAKUR, ARI	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRAUN, TERRY	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRENNAND, KRISTEN	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BURNS, STEPHEN	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BUTTS-PAULY, KIM	07/01/2016 06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CABRERA DEBUC, DELIA	02/07/2018 02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE RESEARCH PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARP, STEFAN	02/07/2018 02/09/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF RADIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARROLL, JOSEPH	10/12/2017 10/13/2017 RUTH WEEKS PROFESSOR IN OPHTHALMOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARROLL, TIMOTHY	10/12/2017 10/13/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHALASANI, NAGA	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 CHIEF AND DAVID W. CRABB PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHESTEK, CYNTHIA	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHIBA-FALEK, ORNIT	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHUNG, SUSANA	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CLEARY, MICHAEL	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COLEMAN, TODD	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONTRERAS-VIDAL, JOSE	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 HUGH ROY AND LILLIE CULLEN PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COTSAPAS, CHRIS	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DAGNELIE, GISLIN	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DATTA, SANDEEP	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DELORENZO, CHRISTINE	07/01/2018 06/30/2022 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DENG, WENBIN	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DIAMOND, SOLOMON	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DING, LEI	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DONNELLY, CHRISTOPHER	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DORSKY, RICHARD	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOWNS, J	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DUBRA, ALFREDO	02/07/2018 02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

DUNCKLEY, TRAVIS	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	RESEARCH ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DUNN, ANDREW	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DZAKPASU, RHONDA	10/12/2017	10/13/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FELSEN, GIDON	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FERGUSON, ADAM	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FRANCO, SANTOS	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GAILLARD, ELIZABETH	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GAO, FEN-BIAO	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GEE, JAMES	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GHOSH, SATRAJIT	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	PRINCIPAL RESEARCH SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
GILBERT, RYAN	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GISZTER, SIMON	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GLUCKMAN, BRUCE	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOMEZ, CHRISTOPHER	07/01/2018	06/30/2020	ALBINA Y SURBIS PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOPINATH, KAUNDINYA	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF RADIOLOGY AND IMAGING SCIENCES	Peer Review Consultant Member
GRYTZ, RAFAEL	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUFFANTI, GUIA	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF PSYCHIATRY	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUNDUZ, AYSEGUL	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAMM-ALVAREZ, SARAH	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAN, MARTIN	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HASSAN, SHIRIN	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HATTAR, SAMER	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	CHIEF	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
HAWRYLYCZ, MICHAEL	10/12/2017	10/13/2017	INVESTIGATOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HEIEN, MICHAEL	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HICKMAN, JAMES	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUPPERT, THEODORE	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUXLIN, KRYSTEL	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
IRIMIA, DANIEL	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JACKSON, MEYER	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

JAFFREY, SAMIE	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JIAO, SHULIANG	02/07/2018 02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JOHNSON, MATTHEW	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KEENE, ALEX	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KENNEDY, DAVID	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KILGORE, KEVIN	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIM, DEOK-HO	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF BIOENGINEERING	Peer Review Consultant Member
KOULEN, PETER	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR, FELIX AND CARMEN SABATES ENDOWED CHAIR IN VISION RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
KROENKE, CHRISTOPHER	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KUO, ANTHONY	10/12/2017 10/13/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KUZUM, DUYGU	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAI, ERIC	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 MEMBER	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAIRD, ANGELA	10/12/2017 10/13/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAMPE, KYLE	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEACH, JENNIE	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEE, KENDALL	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEE, RICHARD	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEIPZIG, NIC	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LI, KEVIN	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIN, YINGXI	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 INVESTIGATOR, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LI, YAN	10/19/2017 10/20/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOBO, MARY KAY	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LONG, RICHARD	10/19/2017 10/20/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOUVI, ANGELIKI	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LYTTON, WILLIAM	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MANDUCHI, ROBERTO	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MARTINEZ, DIANA	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCDANNOLD, NATHAN	10/19/2017 10/20/2017 RESEARCH DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MELANCON, MARITES	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

MENG, ELLIS	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 PROFESSOR & CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MERIGAN, WILLIAM	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MEYER, JASON	10/19/2017 10/20/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MIN, WEI	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOHAN, RAJIV	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MONBOUQUETTE, HAROLD	07/01/2016 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOORE, MICHAEL	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MORGAN, VICTORIA	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MORIKIS, DIMITRIOS	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR OF BIOENGINEERING	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOROI, SAYOKO	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NEUL, JEFFREY	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NICHOLS, CHARLES	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NORD, ALEXANDER	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NOTTERPEK, LUCIA	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OPAL, PUNEET	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OPHOFF, ROEL	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OTTO, KEVIN	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARDUE, MACHELLE	07/01/2014 06/30/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PATHAK, ARVIND	07/01/2018 06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PAULY, KIM	07/01/2016 06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PEARSE, DAMIEN	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PENZES, PETER	02/22/2018 02/23/2018 RUTH AND EVELYN DUNBAR PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PETERCHEV, ANGEL	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PHAM, DZUNG	07/01/2015 06/30/2019 DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PLANT, GILES	06/18/2018 06/19/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POLIMENI, JONATHAN	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PORTER, JASON	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PORTMAN, DOUGLAS	06/28/2018 06/29/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POURREZAEI, KAMBIZ	06/07/2018 06/08/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

PRAHLAD, VEENA	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PRIOR, FRED	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PUNZO, CLAUDIO	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RAJ, ASHISH	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REIER, PAUL	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR AND EMINENT SCHOLAR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RIBERA, ANGELES	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROBERTSON, ANNE	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROCHET, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROMERO-ORTEGA, MARIO	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROSI, SUSANNA	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROSSOLL, WILFRIED	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RO, TONY	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RUBERTI, JEFFREY	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SADOWSKI, MARTIN	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHIFF, NICHOLAS	07/19/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCOTT, KRISTIN	07/01/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHAH, NIRAO	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHARMA, VIJAY	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SLED, JOHN	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR AND SENIOR SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
SLUTZKY, MARC	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SMEYNE, RICHARD	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SMITH, ANDREW	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SMITH, GEORGE	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SOMBERS, LESLIE	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPITALE, ROBERT	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STEPHEN, JULIA	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	DIRECTOR MEG CORE	Peer Review Consultant Member
STRENK, SUSAN	10/12/2017	10/13/2017	VICE PRESIDENT	Peer Review Consultant Member
TOTH, MIKLOS	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TRESCO, PATRICK	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

TROYK, PHILIP	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
TURNER, ERIC	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VENKATARAMAN, ARCHANA	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, RUIKANG	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WEBSTER, ROBERT	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WESTIN, CARL-FREDRIK	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILSON, TONY	07/01/2017	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR OF BASIC AND TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOLLSTEIN, GADI	10/12/2017	10/13/2017	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
XIA, WEIMING	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	RESEARCH ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YENARI, MIDORI	06/18/2018	06/19/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YEO, EUGENE	07/01/2016	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YOON, GEUNYOUNG	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YU, GANG	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YU, XIAOJUN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZADNIK, KARLA	07/01/2017	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZDERIC, VESNA	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZEIER, ZANE	06/28/2018	06/29/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHANG, CHAOLIN	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHANG, HAO	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHENG, SIKAI	02/22/2018	02/23/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHOU, JINYUAN	06/07/2018	06/08/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHOU, QIFA	02/07/2018	02/09/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 181

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country

and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ..shall be regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of - (A) applications....; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

6,653

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Institute Program Staff provides the committee with data pertaining to funding actions taken.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of “approved” or “recommended” for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH’s funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant’s management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 715

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 715

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$853,458,370

Grant Review Comments

Grant Review

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

NA